

ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING REPORT (ESR)



(Current sand mining activities in Aus, TEC 2025)

FOR THE PROPOSED SAND MINING WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE AUS URBAN SETTLEMENT, KARAS REGION

Prepared for:

El Sonador Investment cc




P.O. Box 1196

Lüderitz

Contact: Mr. Henry Van Taak, 0814499661

E-mail: manjarovantaak@yahoo.com

MARCH 2026

DOCUMENT INFORMATION		
Title	Environmental Scoping Report – Proposed sand mining within the boundaries of the Aus urban settlement, Karas Region	
ECC Application Reference number	APP- 007282	
Listed Activity	Activity 3: Mining and Quarrying Activities: 3.2 The Other forms of mining or extraction of any natural resources whether regulated by law or not	
Location	Aus, Karas region	
Proponent	El Sonador Investment cc P.O. Box 1196 Lüderitz Contact: Mr. Henry Van Taak, 0814499661 E-mail: manjarovantaak@yahoo.com	
	Signature	Date
		08/05/2026
Author:	Signature	Date
Ms. Laina Alexander (EAP) ¹		08/05/2026
Reviewer:		08/05/2026
Mr. Jonas Heita (EAP)		
Copy Right: <i>"This document is the intellectual property of TEC and may only be used for the intended purpose. Unauthorized use, duplication, plagiarism or copying without referencing is prohibited"</i>		

¹ EAP – Environmental Assessment Practitioner

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Demand for sand	1
	1.2 Sand mining rationale	1
	1.3 Proponent – El Sonador Investment cc	1
	1.4 EIA Regulation	1
	1.5 Environment versus Economic Development	1
	1.6 EIA Process	2
2.	PROJECT INFORMATION	3
	2.1 Project locality	3
	2.2 Estimated Sand Volumes – Site 1	8
	2.2.1 Surface Area	8
	2.2.2 Depth / Height	8
	2.2.3 Estimated sand Volume (to be harvested)	8
	2.3 Estimated Sand Volume – Site 2	8
	2.3.1 Surface Area	8
	2.3.2 Depth / Height	8
	2.3.3 Estimated Volume (to be harvested)	8
	2.4 Sand Mining - Process	8
	2.4.1 Sand mining machinery	8
	2.4.2 Sand mining – Phases	8
	2.5 Socio-Economic Capacity	9
3.	COMPLIANCE AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK	10
	3.1 Compliance to the EMP	10
	3.2 Environmental Management Act (No.7 of 2007)	10
	3.3 EMP Requirements	10
	3.4 Listed Activities	11
	3.5 Legal Framework Relevant to the EMP	11
4.	RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT	13
	4.1 Socio – Economic Profile	13
	4.1.1 Population and Demography	13
	4.1.2 Historical background of Aus	13
	4.1.3 Livelihoods and Employment	13
	4.1.4 Development initiatives in the area	13
	4.2 Biophysical Environment	14

4.2.1 Climatic Conditions and Rainfall	14
4.2.2 Topography and landscape	14
4.2.3 Soils and Geology	14
4.3 Biological environment	14
4.3.1 Vegetation	14
4.3.2 Fauna	14
4.4 Sensitivity mapping.....	16
4.4.1 Impact Zones Around Proposed Sand Mining Sites.....	16
4.4.2 Sensitivity and Impact Zones.....	18
5. IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	20
5.1 EIA Methodology	20
5.1.1 Screening	20
5.1.2 Alternatives Considered	20
5.1.3 Scope of Assessment.....	20
5.1.4 Detailed Impact Assessment	21
5.1.5 Impact Significance	21
5.1.6 Impact Assessment Criteria.....	21
5.1.7 Impact Severity	23
5.1.8 Impact Significance	23
5.2 Assessment of Cumulative Impacts	24
5.3 Mitigation Measures	25
5.3.1 Mitigation Hierarchy	25
6. ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL IMPACTS ASSESSMENT	26
6.1 Socio-Economic Impacts	26
6.1.1 Employment opportunities	27
6.1.2 Local economic growth.....	28
6.2 Operational related impacts.....	29
6.2.1 Vegetation clearance.....	29
6.2.2 Sensitive fauna	30
6.2.3 Risk of accidents and safety hazards	31
6.2.4 Run-off and soil erosion.....	32
6.2.5 Dust	33
6.2.6 Noise from Earthmoving Equipment.....	34
8. CONCLUSION	35
References	36
9. APPENDICES	37
APPENDIX (1): ESMP.....	37

APPENDIX (2): Comments and Response Report.....38

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1: EMP Requirements as outlined in Section 8 of the EIA Regulations10
 Table 3-2: Listed Activities triggered by the proposed project.....11
 Table 3-3: Policies, Plans and Strategies.....11
 Table 5-1: Assessment criteria for the evaluation of impacts.....22

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Evidence of Current Sand Mining Activities – Site 1.....3
 Figure 2-2: Overview – Site 1.....3
 Figure 2-3: Evidence of Current Sand Mining Activities – Site 2.....4
 Figure 2-4: Overview – Site 2.....4
 Figure 2-5: Locality map showing site 1 & 2.....5
 Figure 2-6: Site 1 map.....6
 Figure 2-7: Site 2 map.....7
 Figure 4-1: Impact zones around proposed mining sites17
 Figure 4-2: Sensitivity and impact zones.....19
 Figure 5-1: Impact Assessment Scale (Source: TEC, 2025).....23
 Figure 5-2 - Mitigation Hierarchy25

ABBREVIATIONS

DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs
DSR	Draft Scoping Report
EA	Environmental Assessment
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
ECC	Environmental Clearance Certificate
ECO	Environmental Compliance Officer
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EIF	Environmental Investment Fund
EMA	Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007)
ESMP	Environmental and Social Management Plan
ESR	Environmental Scoping Report
GRM	Grievance Redress Mechanism
I&APs	Interested and Affected Parties
MAFWLR	Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Water and Land Reform
MEFT	Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism
SM	Site Manager
TEC	Tortoise Environmental Consultant

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Demand for sand

Like many other towns in Namibia, Aus and Luderitz requires sand in-order to meet the demand for township and other developmental activities in the town. Two (2) sites have been identified in Aus for possible sand mining / harvesting (figure 2.5 – 2.7).

GPS coordinates: Latitude -26.658415 and Longitude 16.255143

1.2 Sand mining rationale

To supply the General Construction Industry, such as:

- Housing
- Hospitals
- Schools
- Business, etc

1.3 Proponent – El Sonador Investment cc

El Sonador Investments CC is a fully Namibian-owned company based in Lüderitz, it specialises in construction, renovation, and sand mining. The company is managed by three directors with a combined experience of over 30 years in the construction and mining sectors, ensuring strong technical oversight and operational management.

The company's core activities include residential and commercial construction, building renovations, and the extraction and supply of sand for construction purposes. Within the context of this Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), El Sonador Investments CC seeks to undertake controlled sand harvesting operations from an ephemeral river in Aus to support local construction demand.

The company aims to conduct its sand mining activities in accordance with Namibian environmental legislation and best practices, while minimizing ecological disturbance and contributing to local economic development through job creation and skills transfer.

1.4 EIA Regulation

The EIA is regulated by the Environmental Management Act, 2007 and the EIA Regulations No. 30 of 2012, which is administered by the Ministry of Environment Forestry and Tourism (MEFT), through the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA), which is headed by the Environmental Commissioner (EC).

1.5 Environment versus Economic Development

Namibia's economy is highly dependent on a healthy environment and striking a balance in meeting demands for economic development and maintaining biological diversity remains a priority. Therefore, it is of utmost importance that the environment and development

sectors should work together and identify synergies to ensure that natural resources are utilized acceptably and sustainably.

The aim of undertaking environmental assessments is to mitigate negative impacts that would otherwise compromise socio-economic development.

For a dry country like Namibia, rivers are very important for the ecosystem, ecological process, water supply and underground water recharge. The aim of environmental assessments is to guide the sustainable utilization of natural resources and to mitigate negative impacts that would otherwise compromise the environmental integrity and future ecosystem benefits.

1.6 EIA Process

An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a process of identifying, predicting, evaluating and mitigating the effects (negative impacts) of a proposed project on the natural and human environment.

The EIA process aims to apply the principles of environmental management, reduce negative impacts and provide an opportunity for the public to comment on the proposed activity.

The EIA Process entails the assessment and description of the study area, recommended site or affected environment. The EIA further investigates and identifies potential impacts that may arise from the proposed activity.

For every impact that is deemed significant, mitigation measures will be developed and will be outlined in the Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP).

2. PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 Project locality

- *Project Site 1*



Figure 2-1: Evidence of Current Sand Mining Activities – Site 1



Figure 2-2: Overview – Site 1

- Project Site 2



Figure 2-3: Evidence of Current Sand Mining Activities – Site 2



Figure 2-4: Overview – Site 2




Figure 2-5: Locality map showing site 1 & 2

BID: Sand mining, Aus




Figure 2-6: Site 1 map




Figure 2-7: Site 2 map

2.2 Estimated Sand Volumes – Site 1

2.2.1 Surface Area

61,017 m²

2.2.2 Depth / Height

1.5m

2.2.3 Estimated sand Volume (to be harvested)

24,025 m³

2.3 Estimated Sand Volume – Site 2

2.3.1 Surface Area

21,776 m²

2.3.2 Depth / Height

2.4m

2.3.3 Estimated Volume (to be harvested)

52,262 m³

2.4 Sand Mining - Process

2.4.1 Sand mining machinery

The sand mining process entails the use of modern sand mining equipment such as:

- Front loader / JCB / TLB (to load the sand in the tipper trucks)
- Tipper / Dump trucks (to transport sand)

The proponent would like to engage in the following socio-economic activities

- To supply General Construction industry

2.4.2 Sand mining – Phases

In order to limit environmental damages, it is recommended that the sand mining should be conducted in phases.

The sand mining phases should be defined in accordance with the following elements:

- Specific area to mined,
- Season / Time of the year,
- Quantinty of material to be taken out,
- Harvesting technique

2.5 Socio-Economic Capacity

The proposed economic activities (sand mining, transportation and supply to the construction construction industry) have potential to create significant employment opportunities at different levels (un-skilled, skilled, qualified).

3. COMPLIANCE AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK

This chapter outlines the regulatory framework.

3.1 Compliance to the EMP

The EMP is binding to the proponent, and all contractors / sub-contractors. This implies that every entity that may have any kind of engagement or involved in/with the sand mining activities should comply with the EMP throughout the project lifespan. Non-compliance may have serious consequences e.g License withdrawal.

3.2 Environmental Management Act (No.7 of 2007)

Section 27 of the Environmental Management Act 2007 (Act No. 7 of 2007) (EMA) provides a list of activities that may not be undertaken without an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) (herein referred to as: listed activities). The proposed mining activities triggers the following listed activities.

The EMP should conform to the provisions of the Environmental Management Act (EMA), Act No. 7 of 2007 and EIA regulations of 2012 (Government Notice: 30).

The EIA Regulations defines a '*Management Plan*' as:

"...a plan that describes how activities that may have significant impacts on the environment are to be mitigated controlled and monitored."

3.3 EMP Requirements

Table 3-1: EMP Requirements as outlined in Section 8 of the EIA Regulations

Requirement
<p><i>(j) a draft management plan, which includes –</i></p> <p><i>(aa) information on any proposed management, mitigation, protection or remedial measures to be undertaken to address the effects on the environment that have been identified including objectives in respect of the rehabilitation of the environment and closure;</i></p> <p><i>(bb) as far as is reasonably practicable, measures to rehabilitate the environment affected by the undertaking of the activity or specified activity to its natural or predetermined state or to a land use which conforms to the generally accepted principle of sustainable development; and</i></p> <p><i>(cc) a description of the manner in which the applicant intends to modify, remedy, control or stop any action, activity or process which causes pollution or environmental degradation remedy the cause of pollution or degradation and migration of pollutants.</i></p>

3.4 Listed Activities

The proposed project triggers a number of Listed Activities as set out in the Environmental Management Act, 2007 (Act No. 7 of 2007) (herein referred to as the EMA) and the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulation, 2007 (No. 30 of 2011) (herein referred to as the EIA Regulations).

Listed Activities may not be undertaken without an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC), and hence an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is required. The EIA entails the development of the EIA Scoping Report and Environmental Management Plan (EMP) which should be submitted to the MET as part of the application for the ECC.

Table 3-2: Listed Activities triggered by the proposed project

Listed Activity	Activity Description	Relevance to the proposed project
Activity 3: Mining and Quarrying Activities:	3.2 The Other forms of mining or extraction of any natural resources whether regulated by law or not	The project entails mining of sand (mainly scooping) from a river.

3.5 Legal Framework Relevant to the EMP

In addition to the EMA and the Environmental Assessment Policy, there exists a host of legal and policy documents and guidelines that must be considered when undertaking an EIA as indicated in table 5.3, below.

The proponent has the responsibility to ensure that the mining activities conform to all relevant National developmental plans and legal framework.

Table 3-3: Policies, Plans and Strategies

Policy / Plan	Relevancy/Summary	Applicability to the Proposed Project
5 th National Development Plan (NDP) and Vision 2030	Outlines the country's national development ambitions, in line with the Harambee Prosperity Plan, and Vision 2030. NDP5 incorporates the principles and recommendations contained in the Stockholm Declaration on the Human Environment (1972) and associated Action Plan, as well as Agenda 21 which merged from the Convention on Biological Diversity, Rio De Janeiro (1992).	The proposed project is a development that forms part of the bigger picture of achieving economic progression, social transformation and environmental sustainability.

Table 3.2: National Statutes

National Statutes	Relevance/Summary	Applicability to the Proposed Project
Environmental Management Act, 2007 (Act No. 7 of 2007)	Promote sustainable use of natural resources based on the principles of environmental management. Regulates environmental clearance certificate for listed activities	Provides the framework for the EIA and development of mitigation measures outlined in the EMP
Pollution Control and Waste Management Bill (in preparation and adopted as best practice)	Intent to repeal the outdated Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Ordinance (11 of 1976).	Aim to regulate and prevent the discharge of pollutants into the environment (land, air and water).
Water Resources Management Act, 2013 (No. 11 of 2013)	Came in force in August 2023. Provide framework for managing water resources based on the principles of integrated water resource management (IWRM)	Section 44 – Regulates water abstraction licenses Section 68 – Prevent water pollution. Section 69 and 72 regulates and licensing of wastewater treatment plants and effluent discharge
Soil Conservation, (Act 76 of 1969) and Amendment (Act 38 of 1971)	Makes provision for the prevention and control of soil erosion	Through vegetation removal there may be the risk of affecting soil quality.
Forest Act 12 of 2001 Forest Act Regulations 2015	To provide for the protection of the environment and the control and management of forest.	Provision for the protection of protected or endangered plant species.
National Heritage Act, No. 27 of 2004.	The Act provides for the protection and conservation of places and objects with heritage / archaeological significance.	Potential for chance find of cultural heritage or archaeological artefacts
Public and Environmental Health Act (Act No. 1 of 2015)	The Public Health Act aims to protect the public from nuisance or other condition liable to be injurious or dangerous to health	The proponent should ensure that the workers are provided with protective gear to safeguard their wellbeing.
Labour Act No. 11 of 2007	Occupational Health is aimed at the promotion and maintenance of physical, mental and social wellbeing of workers in all occupations.	Prevent or manage work-related hazards and maintain healthy standards at the workplace and protection of workers against exploitations

4. RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT

The environmental baseline for the proposed project has been collected through a desktop study as well as a site assessment.

The assessment is categorised into two categories, the socio-economic aspect and physical and biological environment.

4.1 Socio – Economic Profile

4.1.1 Population and Demography

//Karas region is in the southern part of country, and it is geographically the largest region in Namibia, it covers a size of 161,395 km² and comprises of seven (7) constituencies (Namibia Statistics Agency , 2022).

The region's name comes from the local Nama word for "Quiver Tree," which is a symbol of the area.

4.1.2 Historical background of Aus

Aus is a small, historically significant village in the southern part of the country in //Karas region, its name originates from the Khoekhoe language, meaning “snake fountain”. The settlement of Aus originated as a small trading post around 1883, following the growing significance of the harbour at Angra Pequena (info Namibia, 2026). This harbour, first recorded in 1447 by Bartolomeu Dias, later became strategically important to the German Reich through the activities of Adolf Lüderitz.

Aus developed as a key link between the inland areas around Keetmanshoop and the coastal town of Lüderitz. In 1890, Namibia was formally declared a German Crown Colony, leading to the expansion of Lüderitz as a major trading hub in what was then known as German South West Africa. This period also saw the arrival of increasing numbers of German colonial troops (Schutztruppe), which contributed to escalating armed conflicts between the colonial forces and the indigenous populations of the region.

4.1.3 Livelihoods and Employment

Livelihoods in Aus are heavily reliant on agriculture, particularly small-stock farming, and increasingly supported by tourism and infrastructure developments.

The primary source of income and food security for 70-80% of inhabitants in the //Karas region, including Aus, involves raising sheep and goats.

Aus is an important stopover and tourism destination due to its proximity to the Dune Namib and its status as a gateway to the Nama Karoo. The town is developing as a tourist node to diversify livelihoods.

4.1.4 Development initiatives in the area

There are ongoing efforts to transform Aus include the construction of housing, improvement of bulk services (water/electricity), and the potential for employment in upcoming green hydrogen initiatives in the region.

4.2 Biophysical Environment

4.2.1 Climatic Conditions and Rainfall

The climate in Aus, Namibia is predominantly hot and arid, characterized by very low rainfall, large temperature swings between day and night, and a dry winter season with cold nights (Klein-Aus Vista, 2025).

4.2.2 Topography and landscape

- **Location and Elevation:** Aus sits at an elevation of roughly 1,000–1,100 meters on the edge of the desert, serving as a boundary between the rocky upland plains and the sandy Namib Desert.
- **Geological Context:** The area is characterized by arid, rocky terrain, which causes significant water runoff and limits absorption, contributing to its extreme aridity.
- **Surrounding Landscape:** To the west of Aus, the terrain drops down abruptly towards the Atlantic coastal plain, while to the east, it connects with the higher plateau surrounding Keetmanshoop.

4.2.3 Soils and Geology

The geology of Aus is predominantly characterized by Proterozoic granitoids, notably the Aus, Tsirub, and Kubub orthogneisses, which form part of the Namaqua-Natal Metamorphic Province (Mutongolume, 2020). The lithology is dominated by acidic, high-K calc-alkaline rocks derived from A-type magmatic sources and exhibiting significant crustal contamination (Mutongolume, 2020). As a result, soils in the area are generally shallow, poorly developed, and rocky.

4.3 Biological environment

4.3.1 Vegetation

The vegetation around Aus is defined by the Succulent Karoo and Nama Karoo biomes, characterized as a dwarf shrub savanna (Atlas of Namibia, 2026). It is an arid to semi-arid environment featuring sparse vegetation, dwarf shrubs, succulents, and ephemeral grasses, with iconic species like the Quiver Tree (*Aloe dichotoma*) and various *Stipagrostis* grasses (Atlas of Namibia, 2026).

4.3.2 Fauna

- The endemic *Chersobius solus* Namapadloper tortoise
- Wild Horses: Famous feral horses roaming the desert near Garub.
- Desert-Adapted Wildlife: Mountain zebra, gemsbok (oryx), springbok, kudu, steenbok, and klipspringer.

- Predators: Leopard can be found in the Rocky Mountains.
- Birds: Includes black eagles, kori bustards, and rosy-faced lovebirds

4.4 Sensitivity mapping

4.4.1 Impact Zones Around Proposed Sand Mining Sites

Map 1 (Fig. 4.1) shows the predicted impact zones around Site 1 and Site 2. Impact intensity is classified into:

- High impact zone (0–150 m): Immediate area surrounding the mining sites where direct disturbance from excavation, noise, and vehicle movement is expected to be most significant.
- Moderate impact zone (150–500 m): Surrounding areas that may experience indirect impacts such as dust, noise, and minor habitat disturbance.
- Low impact zone (>500 m): Areas where impacts are expected to be minimal.

This map highlights that the most significant impacts are localized but may extend outward depending on operational intensity and environmental conditions.




Figure 4-1: Impact zones around proposed mining sites

4.4.2 Sensitivity and Impact Zones

Map 2 (Fig. 4.2) integrates environmental sensitivity with the projected impact zones. It shows that:

- Areas along the river systems are highly sensitive (0–50 m) due to their ecological importance, including vegetation and potential habitat for species of concern.
- Moderately sensitive areas (50–150 m) surround the river corridors.
- Lower sensitivity areas (>150 m) occur further from these ecological features.

The overlaid impact zones (0–150 m and 150–500 m) demonstrate that some mining activities fall within or near sensitive areas, particularly along the riverbeds. This indicates a potential overlap between high-impact zones and ecologically sensitive environments, which increases the risk of environmental degradation if not properly managed.




Figure 4-2: Sensitivity and impact zones

5. IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

5.1 EIA Methodology

The EIA methodology applied to this EIA has been developed using the Namibian Draft Procedures and Guidance for EIA and EMP (Republic of Namibia, 2008); international and national best practice; and over 20 years of combined EIA experience. The method of each step in the EIA process is described in the next sections.

5.1.1 Screening

As per the Draft Procedures and Guideline for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Environmental Management Plan (EMP) (Ministry of Environment and Tourism, 2008), the determination of a proposal and if it triggers a Listed Activity in the EMA is the first stage of the EIA process. The proposed project triggers several Listed Activities as per Section 1.4 and therefore an ECC is required.

5.1.2 Alternatives Considered

As stipulated in the Environmental Management Act (EMA) and EIA regulations, alternatives should be considered during the project design, to determine if an alternative site (different locality) or alternative project (different project) would yield better socio-economic benefits.

- **No-go alternative**

The assessment of alternatives must at all times include the 'No-go' option as a baseline against which all other alternatives must be measured. The implication of the 'No-go' alternative on the socio-economic environment of the study area will simply be that none of the positive or negative impacts associated with the proposed activity will be realised. As the 'No-go' alternative is the baseline against which the other impacts are measured no impacts would result. For the sand mining project, the "No-go" alternative is therefore not a viable option.

5.1.3 Scope of Assessment

The Scoping Process is a fundamental stage in the EIA process. Through a high-level assessment, the likely effects and severity of effects as a result of the development and operations of a proposed project can be identified. Any likely significant effects are taken forward for further assessment (detailed EIA). This stage is important in the EIA process to enable the assessment to be concise and focus on key issues that are central to efficient decision making.

If no likely significant effects are anticipated, a detailed EIA is not undertaken and a Scoping Report detailing the high-level assessment is submitted as part of the ECC application.

As there was uncertainty around the potential effects and their severity, a scoping process was undertaken for the proposed development. The Draft Procedures and Guideline for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Environmental Management Plan (EMP) (Ministry of Environment and Tourism, 2008) were followed to undertake the scoping stage.

The baseline environment that could be affected by the project was reviewed and potential effects on receptors identified. Receptors under the following aspects were considered (Ministry of Environment and Tourism, 2008):

- Geology and soils
- Topography
- Groundwater and surface water resources
- Environmentally sensitive areas
- Air quality
- Sound levels
- Socio-economics
- Infrastructure services
- Cultural resources
- Project Economics

Embedded mitigation and industry best practice measures were considered in the review and conclusion drawn identifying those effects that needed to be assessed further due to the potential severity and significance.

The findings of the scoping process are presented in chapter 6.

5.1.4 Detailed Impact Assessment

Through scoping, potential significant effects were identified. These potential effects are then considered further to determine the level of significance and identify additional mitigation required to avoid, reduce, or compensate for the effect.

5.1.5 Impact Significance

The level of significance is identified through the assessment process in order to understand the potential severity of the effect and identify appropriate mitigation. The significance of effect after mitigation is also considered during the decision-making.

The significance of an impact is determined by considering and measuring the temporal and spatial scales and magnitude of the project and the specific activities associated with the project.

5.1.6 Impact Assessment Criteria

For each impact, the **EXTENT** (spatial scale), **MAGNITUDE** and **DURATION** will be described. These criteria are used to ascertain the **SIGNIFICANCE** of the impact, firstly in the case of no mitigation and then with the most effective mitigation measure/s in place. The mitigation described in the Scoping Report and EMP would represent the full range of plausible and pragmatic measures.

Table 5-1: Assessment criteria for the evaluation of impacts

CRITERIA	CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION
Sensitivity or importance/value of receptor	High	Of value, importance or rarity on a national scale, and with very limited potential for substitution; and/or Very sensitive to change, or has little capacity to accommodate a change.
	Medium	Of value, importance or rarity on a regional scale, and with limited potential for substitution; and/or Moderate sensitivity to change, or moderate capacity to accommodate a change
	Low	Of value, importance or rarity on a local scale; and/or Not particularly sensitive to change, or has considerable capacity to accommodate a change.
Extent or spatial influence of impact	National	Beyond a 20km radius of the site
	Regional	Within a 20 km radius of the site
	Local	Within a 2 km radius of the centre of the site
	Site specific	On site or within the boundaries of the property
	Zero	
Magnitude of impact (at the indicated spatial scale)	High	Natural and/ or social functions and/ or processes are <i>severely</i> altered
	Medium	Natural and/ or social functions and/ or processes are <i>notably</i> altered
	Low	Natural and/ or social functions and/ or processes are <i>slightly</i> altered
	Very Low	Natural and/ or social functions and/ or processes are <i>negligibly</i> altered
	Zero	Natural and/ or social functions and/ or processes remain <i>unaltered</i>
Duration of impact	Zero	Zero time
	Short Term	Up to 18 months
	Medium Term	0-5 years (after operation)
	Long Term	5- 10 years (after operation)
	Permanent	More than 10 years (after operation)
Probability	Definite	Estimated greater than 95 % chance of the impact occurring.
	Very likely	Estimated 50 to 95% chance of the impact occurring
	Fairly likely	Estimated 5 to 50 % chance of the impact occurring.
	Unlikely	Estimated less than 5 % chance of the impact occurring.

CRITERIA	CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION
	Zero	Definitely no chance of occurrence
Confidence	Certain	Wealth of information on and sound understanding of the environmental factors potentially influencing the impact.
	Sure	Reasonable amount of useful information on and relatively sound understanding of the environmental factors potentially influencing the impact.
	Unsure	Limited useful information on and understanding of the environmental factors potentially influencing this impact.
Reversibility	Irreversible	The activity will lead to an impact that is permanent.
	Reversible	The impact is reversible, within a period of 10 years.

5.1.7 Impact Severity

Impact severity = impact significance. The impact significance is determined using a risk matrix (**below**).




Figure 5-1: Impact Assessment Scale (Source: TEC, 2025)

5.1.8 Impact Significance

The significance of an impact is identified determined by qualifying the potential severity of the effect, before and after mitigation. The impact significance after mitigation should be considered during the decision-making process.

The significance of an impact is determined by assessing the magnitude of scale (both temporal and spatial).

Significance is not defined in the Namibian EIA Regulations, however the Draft Procedure and Guidance for EIA and EMP states that the significance of a predicted impact depends upon its context and intensity and qualified into the following categories, as guided by literature:

- **High:** effects associated with features or resources of national importance and, if lost, cannot be replaced, and thus likely to be key decision-making factors.
- **Medium:** effects associated with the features or resources of regional importance, but which are unlikely to be key decision-making factors.
- **Low:** effects considered to be local importance, but unlikely to be critical to decision-making factors.

Impact significance is determined by multiplying the potential severity of the effect, and qualitative assessment of the receptor sensitivity and magnitude of change. If effects garner a severity score, they are considered to be significant.

For significant impacts, supplementary assessments / Specialist studies may be required to further enhance understanding on the consequences (e.g through modelling or other assessment techniques) and identification of appropriate mitigation measures to reduce the effect.

5.2 Assessment of Cumulative Impacts

The Environmental Assessment Policy in Namibia requires cumulative environmental impacts to be considered in all environmental assessment processes.

Cumulative impacts can arise when a single resource or receptor is affected by more than one impact or activity of the proposed project. For example, the view of a local resident's property could be altered through the construction phase of the proposed development and noise levels could increase due to excavation activities. In isolation, the impacts may be insignificant, however when combined, the impacts on the local resident may result in a significant impact.

Cumulative impacts may also arise as a result of the combination of two or more projects on the same receptor. The receptor could be affected by the same activities of these projects resulting in the same impact or by completely different activities resulting in different impacts. An example of this is as follows; dust generated during the construction stage of the proposed project may not cause a significant effect in isolation; however, a sensitive receptor (e.g. local resident) may be significantly impacted when dust from the proposed project is combined with noise generated from other projects.

A high-level cumulative impact assessment has been undertaken for the proposed project as part of the scoping phase as the anticipated effects are expected to be local and of minor significance. If effects were determined to be significant, a detailed EIA would be required.

5.3 Mitigation Measures

For each impact assessed during the scoping phase and detailed assessment, mitigation measures are identified to reduce and/ or avoid negative impacts. These mitigation measures are also incorporated in the EMP to ensure that they are implemented throughout the lifespan of the proposed project. The EMP forms part of the Scoping Report, and upon project approval, the implementation thereof, would become a binding requirement.

5.3.1 Mitigation Hierarchy

Actions to mitigate a potential impact can be done in as systematic manner as guided by what is referred to as Mitigation Hierarchy (Figure 4.1).

From the onset, the positive impacts of the proposed project should be **enhanced**, however, where an impact in is inevitable, the following sequence should be followed.

Impact avoidance: This step is most effective when applied at an early stage of project conceptualization and planning. It can be achieved by:

- Not undertaking certain projects or elements that could result in adverse impacts;
- Avoiding areas that are environmentally sensitive; and
- Putting in place preventative measures to stop adverse impacts from occurring.



Figure 5-2 - Mitigation Hierarchy

Impact minimisation: This step is usually taken during impact identification and prediction to limit or reduce the degree, extent, magnitude, or duration of adverse impacts. It can be achieved by:

- Scaling down or relocating the proposal;
- Redesigning elements of the project; and
- Taking supplementary measures to manage the impacts.

Impact compensation: This step is usually applied to remedy unavoidable residual adverse impacts. It can be achieved by:

- Rehabilitation of the affected site or environment, for example, by habitat enhancement;
- Restoration of the affected site or environment to its previous state or better; and
- Replacement of the same resource values at another location (off-set), for example, by wetland engineering to provide an equivalent area to that lost to drainage or infill.

6. ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL IMPACTS ASSESSMENT

6.1 Socio-Economic Impacts

Namibia has one of the highest unemployment rates in the world. According to the Namibia Statistics Agency (NSA, 2025), the official unemployment rate in 2024 is estimated at 37% of the labour force, and the majority (47%) of the unemployed is the youth (including graduates from Universities and Vocational Training Centres).

However, economists from independent institutions dispute the Government or NSA's estimate of 37%, arguing that NSA has changed the "Unemployment Definition" and that the unemployment rate in Namibia is much higher. In a Newspaper Article dated 01 February 2025, Tannan Groenewald from Cirrus Capital data and analytics, argues that if the broad definition of unemployment historically used is applied, only about 46.2 of the working-age population is employed and the true unemployment stands at 54.8%.

6.1.1 Employment opportunities

Impact category		The project will create Short-term Employment Opportunities for locals												
Negative impacts							Key measures to maintain or improve +ve impacts							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High unemployment • Poor livelihoods 							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prioritise job opportunities for locals during the operational phase • Provide on-site training and fair wages 							
Before Project Commencement							After project commencement							
Impact type	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Probability	Confidence	Significance	Impact type	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Probability	Confidence	Significance	
-Ve	Local	Medium	Medium-term	Very likely	Sure	High	+Ve	Local	Medium	Long-term	Definite	Certain	Low	
Monitoring														
Monitoring Aspects						Frequency	Responsibility				How			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of new employment opportunities created • Number of local people employed • Compliance with labour laws 						Quarterly	Contractor / Site manager				Employment records and database			
							Ministry of Labour / Contractor				Labour inspection reports			

6.1.2 Local economic growth

Impact category							Establishment of new businesses and increase in investment opportunities							
Negative impacts							Key measures to maintain or improve +ve impacts							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor local economy, household incomes and livelihoods 							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement in household incomes and livelihoods Stimulate micro-enterprises and increase demand for local goods. 							
Before Project Commencement							After project Completion							
Impact type	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Probability	Confidence	Significance	Impact type	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Probability	Confidence	Significance	
-Ve	Local	Medium	Medium-term	Very likely	Sure	High	+Ve	Local	Medium	Long-term	Definite	Certain	Low	
Monitoring														
Monitoring Aspects						Frequency	Responsibility				How			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Income levels and livelihood improvements 						Annually	Local authority Proponent				Household surveys and physical observations			

6.2 Operational related impacts

Sand will be mined from an ephemeral river (non-perennial and only flows during the rainy season). When flowing, the river carries along and transports and deposit sand along the river course, during a process called sedimentation. Sedimentation is a natural rehabilitation measure for sand mining, especially in a river stream, because sand is re-deposited in the areas where the sand has been mined / removed.

6.2.1 Vegetation clearance

Impact source		Site clearance and excavation with heavy and mobile equipment					Key Mitigation Measures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adherence to site vegetation clearance checklist/procedure i.e. avoid removal of protected tree species which do not directly affect the construction explore option to relocate and replant some plants such as aloe plants 						
Classification		Vegetation clearance											
Potential Negative Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unselective removal of protected tree species on the project site 													
Without Mitigation							With Mitigation						
Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance	Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance
-ve	Definite	Local	Short-term	Severe	No	Medium	+ve	Definite	Site specific	Short-term	Low	Yes	Low
Qualitative assessment							Qualitative assessment						
Monitoring Program													
Monitoring Aspects					Frequency		Responsibility				How		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of trees species removal versus species saved 					Weekly / Monthly		Site manager / Authority (Environmental Compliance Officer)				Physical observations		

6.2.2 Sensitive fauna

Impact source		The proximity of the proposed sand mining site to a known population of <i>Chersobius solus</i> presents a significant ecological risk, as the species is highly sensitive to habitat disturbance and is restricted to a limited geographic range.					Key Mitigation Measures:						
Classification		Biodiversity / fauna					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establish a no-go buffer zone of at least 500 m from known tortoise habitat Demarcate all sensitive areas as no-go zones on site Immediate halt of work (temporarily) if tortoises are encountered, with relocation by a specialist if required 						
Potential Negative Impacts:													
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat disturbance and fragmentation Crushing or injury from machinery 													
Without Mitigation						With Mitigation							
Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance	Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance
-ve	Definite	Local	Short-term	Severe	No	High	+ve	Definite	Site specific	Short-term	Low	Yes	Low
Qualitative assessment						Qualitative assessment							
Monitoring Program													
Monitoring Aspects					Frequency	Responsibility			How				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Compliance with no-go buffer zones Incident reports 					Weekly or monthly	Site manager Authority (Environmental Compliance Officer)			Site inspections Incident reporting				

6.2.3 Risk of accidents and safety hazards

Impact source		Sand mining (excavation)					Key Mitigation Measures:						
Potential Negative Impacts:							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Smoothen the pit edges to ensure that the angles are not steep sloped, but rather gentle sloped at less than < 30° slope angles. • Although, the estimated depth of the harvestable sand is 1.5 m, it is recommended that only half of the harvestable depth should be mined (about 0.75 m) to in-order to avoid deep excavations. • The mining site should be fenced off, demarcated and there should be warning signs. 						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dangerous Vertical / Steep borrow pit slopes (>30°) • Risk of animals and people falling into the pits (tipping slopes) • Steep slopes make it difficult for animals and people to move in and out and the borrow pits • Risk of drowning or getting stuck in mud (especially during rainy season especially that it's in a riverbed) 													
Without Mitigation													With Mitigation
Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance	Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance
-ve	Definite	Local	Short-term	Severe	No	Severe	+ve	Definite	Site specific	Short-term	Low	Yes	Low
Qualitative assessment							Qualitative assessment						
Monitoring Program													
Monitoring Aspects					Frequency		Responsibility			How			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steepness of borrow pit edges • Safety measures (signage/ fencing) 					Monthly		Contractor / Site manager Authority (Environmental Compliance Officer)			Physical observations Community feedback			

6.2.4 Run-off and soil erosion

Impact source		Sand mining (excavation)					Key Mitigation Measures:						
Potential Negative Impacts:							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The extent / length of the sand mining sections should not stretch more than 500 m continuously, and equivalent sections of the mined section (500 m) should be left un-mined between the mined sections Sand mining should be limited to the centre of the riverbed and should stay clear of the riverbanks. In other words, no sand mining activities should take place on / along the riverbanks. A minimum distance of 50 m from the riverbanks is recommended. 						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased flood intensity Reduced groundwater recharge Habitat disturbances 													
Without Mitigation							With Mitigation						
Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance	Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance
-ve	Definite	Local	Short-term	Severe	No	High	+ve	Definite	Site specific	Short-term	Low	Yes	Low
Qualitative assessment							Qualitative assessment						
Monitoring Program													
Monitoring Aspects					Frequency		Responsibility			How			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extent of excavations No formation of erosion gullies 					Monthly or after rain		Contractor / Site manager Authority (Environmental Compliance Officer)			Physical observations Community feedback			

6.2.5 Dust

Impact source		Excavation with heavy mobile equipment and transportation of sand					Key Mitigation Measures:						
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adherence to site standard/safe operating procedure (cover trucks when transporting sand) Identify and implement appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPEs) as a result resort to prevent or reduce exposure to workers Dust suppression Speed limit as per existing site policy 						
Potential Negative Impacts:													
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employee exposure to contaminated dust Dust emission to environment with potential increase background dust emission 													
Without Mitigation							With Mitigation						
Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance	Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance
-ve	Definite	Local	Short-term	Severe	No	High	+ve	Definite	Site specific	Short-term	Low	Yes	Low
Qualitative assessment							Qualitative assessment						
Monitoring Program													
Monitoring Aspects					Frequency		Responsibility			How			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dust fallout and dust chemical analysis Workers exposure to dust Community dust complaints 					Weekly / Monthly		Contractor / Site Manager Authority (Environmental Compliance Officer)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Laboratory analysis Use of respirable dust samplers, PPE audits Complaint registers 			

6.2.6 Noise from Earthmoving Equipment

Impact source		Excavation works					Key Mitigation Measures:						
Potential Negative Impacts:							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where possible, install silencer in machinery exhaust to reduce noise levels Avoid working late at night or under bad weather (heavy rain or wind) Provide earmuffs to workers in high-noise zones Prevent abnormal noise from earthmoving machinery (<i>below the recommended noise levels of -85dB (A)</i>). 						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abnormal and excessive noise is not just a nuisance, but can lead to health issues (hearing, poor sleep, fatigue, etc) 													
Without Mitigation							With Mitigation						
Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance	Impact type	Probability	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversible	Significance
-ve	Definite	Local	Short-term	Severe	No	High	+ve	Definite	Site specific	Short-term	Low	Yes	Low
Qualitative assessment							Qualitative assessment						
Monitoring Program													
Monitoring Aspects					Frequency		Responsibility				How		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise levels (dB) near machinery Worker exposure Community complaints on noise 					Monthly		Contractor / Site Manager Authority (Environmental Compliance Officer)				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decibel meter readings PPE compliance audits Maintain log of complaints 		

8. CONCLUSION

The environmental assessment employed standard EIA Methodology, National regulatory framework and best practices.

Appropriate mitigation measures have been identified for all social and environmental receptors.

On that basis, TEC recommends issuance of an ECC, on conditions that the management and mitigation measures specified in the ESMP are implemented and adhered to.

References

- Ministry of Environment and Tourism. (2008). *Draft Procedures and Guideline for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Environmental Management Plan (EMP)* . Windhoek: Government of Namibia.
- Namibia Statistics Agency. (2023). *Namibia 2023 Population and Housing Census* . Windhoek : Namibia Statistics Agency .
- Namibia Statistics Agency . (2022). *Census of Business Establishments - //Karas Regional Profile 2019/2021*. Namibia Statistics Agency .
- Mutongolume, C. (2020). *Geological mapping, petrological characterisation and geochemistry of rocks in the Aus area, southern Namibia: Implications for potential copper mineralization*. Windhoek.
- Atlas of Namibia. (2026, April 08). Landscapes. Windhoek.

9. APPENDICES

APPENDIX (1): **ESMP**

APPENDIX (2):

Comments and Response Report