ATTACHMENT 5. MINUTES OF MEETING

<u>SUBJECT:</u> PUBLIC PARTICIPATION FOR EIA STUDY FOR CONSOLIDATION, SUBDIVISION, ZONING AND STREET CREATION FOR OKURYANGAVA EXTENSION 3 INFILL

Date: 28 June 2025

Venue: Ombili Community Centre

ATTENDANCE:

1. Grazy Tshipo

- 2. Elmelinde Ambunda
- 3. Katuuo Kuveri
- 4. Martin Shikongo

1. Purpose

The purpose of the meeting was to present to the Public the EIA process for the proposed Development by the City of Windhoek, on the open space area of the Okuryangava Extension 3 infill. A presentation was presented to the community about the Environment Impact Studies, the proposed project, the possible impacts and the way forward.

2. Questions, Comments & Answers

Ques 1: Kleopas Dominic – What will happen to the ongoing illegal businesses along side of the road, will they also be considered for the new developed area?

Ques 2: Sevelinus – Why only 37 ervens for residential while the huge area is proposed for Industrials and we have a huge amount of people who don't have ervens at Kapuka Kanauyala and most of the people attending this meeting been wanting to relocate to that area?

- **Answer:** the specified area is actually not meant for Okapuka Kanauyala but for the proposed development.
- And any comment or concern will be submitted to the right information.

Ques 3: Johannes: Is there will be relocation for people from Okapuka Nauyala to the given among the 37 ervens that are going to be given, because it is so over crowded there?

Ques 4: Akapeke Tertu – Instead of putting industrials purposes, why don't you relocate all the people from Okapuka kanauyala B then you will put businesses on the rest of the land that will remain?

Ques 5: Lydia – There was an application for that open space, is there any approval?

- Is the Job opportunity mentioned will be given to the residents of Okapuka kanauyala or is it going to be advertised for people to apply?

- How long the Environmental Clearance certificate takes for City of Windhoek to come back to the community for feedback?

Comment: Festus Nuumbala – We have been in Okapuka kanauyala since 1992 with no development. We want to be relocated there instead of industrial and businesses.

Comment: Joel Ashikoto – He feels like this meeting is not for them because we thought the area will be given to the people of Okapuka Kanauyala.

Frans Iyambo: Explained very well the purpose of the meeting is not for relocation but to collect information on what the community says on the proposed development. He added that people who deals with the issue of relocation were not present in the meeting and that the proposed development is also not final.

Conclusion: The people attended the meeting were advised to understand the purpose of the meeting and ensure that whatever comment they have raised will reach to the right offices and that they must expect another public participation for Okapuka kanauyala.

	Clo	sing	Remar	·ks:
--	-----	------	-------	------